arXiv:1606.01114v2 [math.GT] 29 Jun 2016

THE TORELLI GROUP AND THE KAUFFMAN BRACKET SKEIN MODULE

SHUNSUKE TSUJI

Abstract. We introduce an embedding of the Torelli group of a compact connected oriented surface with non-empty connected boundary into the completed Kauffman bracket skein algebra of the surface, which gives a new construction of the first Johnson homomorphism.

1. Introduction

In [11], Turaev draw an analogy between the Goldman Lie algebra and some skein algebra. On the other hand, in [2] [3] [4], Kawazumi, Kuno, Massuyeau and Turaev found that the Goldman Lie algebra on a compact connected oriented surface Σ plays an important role in study of the mapping class group of the surface. In our preceding paper [8], we establish an explicit relationship between the Kauffman bracket skein algebra and the mapping class group on the surface. In particular, we obtain a formula for the action of the Dehn twist along a simple closed curve c on the completed Kauffman bracket skein module with base point set an finite set $J \in \partial\Sigma$, in terms of the inverse function of the hyperbolic cosine function

$$\exp(\sigma((L(c)))(\cdot) = t_c(\cdot) : \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)} \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)}$$

[8] Theorem 4.1 where

$$L(c) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{-A + A^{-1}}{4\log(-A)}(\operatorname{arccosh}(-\frac{c}{2}))^2 - (-A + A^{-1})\log(-A).$$

This formula is an analogy of the formula for the action of the Dehn twist along a simple closed curve c on the completion of the group ring of the fundamental group of the surface [2] [3] [4]. The aim of this paper is to clarify the relationship between mapping class groups and Kauffman bracket skein algebras based on the Dehn twists formula stated above.

Let Σ be a compact connected oriented surface with non-empty connected boundary and genus $g > 1$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ the completion of the Kauffman bracket skein algebra on Σ in an $\ker\epsilon$-adic topology where $\ker\epsilon$ is an augmentation ideal. We define a filtration $\{F^n\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ in [9] satisfying

$$[F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}, F^n\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}] \subset F^{n+1}\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$$

where Lie bracket $[\ ,\ ]$ is defined by

$$[x, y] \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{-A + A^{-1}}(xy - yx)$$

for x and $y \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$. We remark this filtration also induces the topology induced by the augmentation ideal. By this filtration, we can consider the logarithm of any element of the Torelli group of Σ.

By the above condition, we can define the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series $\mathrm{bch}(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$. We define $C(c_1,c_2)$ by $\mathrm{bch}(L(c_1),L(c_2),-L(c_1),-L(c_2))$ for a pair $\{c_1,c_2\}$ of simple closed curves whose algebraic intersection is 0. In view of Putman's result [7], we study the three subsets $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma)$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma)$ of $F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ where we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma)$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma)$ the set of all $C(c_1,c_2)$ for a pair $\{c_1,c_2\}$ of two simple closed curves whose intersection number is 0, the set of all $L(c_1)-L(c_2)$ for a bounding pair $\{c_1,c_2\}$ and the set of all $L(c)$ for a separating simple closed curve c, respectively. The subsets $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma)$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma)$ correspond to the subsets $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma)$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma)$ of the Torelli group of $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$ where we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma)$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma)$ the set of all $C_{c_1,c_2}=t_{c_1}t_{c_2}{t_{c_1}}^{-1}{t_{c_2}}^{-1}$ for a pair $\{c_1,c_2\}$ of two simple closed curves whose intersection number is 0, the set of all $t_{c_1}{t_{c_2}}^{-1}$ for a bounding pair $\{c_1,c_2\}$ and the set of all t_c for a separating simple closed curve c, respectively. In fact, in subsection 3.2, we construct a surjective homomorphism $\theta : (I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma),\mathrm{bch}) \to \mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$ defined by $C(c_1,c_2) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma) \mapsto C_{c_1,c_2} \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma)$, $L(c_1)-L(c_2) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma) \mapsto t_{c_1}{t_{c_2}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma)$ and $L(c) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma) \mapsto t_c \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma$ where we denote by $I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ the subgroup of $(F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)},\mathrm{bch})$ generated by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma)$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma)$. This homomorphism satisfies

$$\exp(\sigma(x))(\cdot)=\theta(x)(\cdot) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma,J)})$$

for any $x \in I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ and any finite subset J of $\partial\Sigma$. Using the Putman's infinite presentation [7], we prove that θ is injective in subsection 3.3.

This embedding gives us a new way of studying the mapping class group. In fact, the embedding gives a new construction of the first Johnson homomorphism by

$$\mathcal{I}(\Sigma) \to I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \twoheadrightarrow F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}/F^4\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \overset{\lambda^{-1}}{\simeq} \wedge^3 H_1(\Sigma,\mathbb{Q}).$$

where $\zeta \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \theta^{-1}$. Here $\wedge^n H_1(\Sigma,\mathbb{Q})$ the n-th exterior power of $H_1(\Sigma,\mathbb{Q})$. These are analogies of [3] 6.3. In a subsequent paper, we study the Johnson kernel $\mathcal{K}(\Sigma)$, which is defined to be the kernel of the first Johnson homomorphism. The isomorphism $\zeta_{|\mathcal{K}(\Sigma)} : \mathcal{K}(\Sigma) \to F^4\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \cap I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ induces the natural map $\tau_{\zeta 2} : \mathcal{K}(\Sigma) \to F^4\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}/F^5\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \simeq S^2(S^2(H_1(\Sigma,\mathbb{Q}))) \oplus S^2(H_1(\Sigma,\mathbb{Q})) \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ where we denote by $S^2(V)$ the second symmetric tensor of a $\mathbb{Q}$ vector space V. Then we have $\tau_{\zeta 2}=(\iota\circ\tau_2)\oplus 0 \oplus d_{\mathrm{Casson}}$. Here $\iota : S^2(\wedge^2(H_1(\Sigma,\mathbb{Q}))) \to S^2(S^2(H_1(\Sigma,\mathbb{Q})))$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map defined by $(a\wedge b)\cdot(c\wedge d) \to (a\cdot c)\cdot(b\cdot d)-(a\cdot d)\cdot(b\cdot c)$, τ_2 the second Johnson homomorpshim and, d_{Casson} the core of the Casson invariant defined in [5].

Furthermore, we expect that the embedding brings us some information about integral homology 3-spheres including the Casson invariant. In a subsequent paper [10], we construct an invariant $z(M)$ for an integral homology 3-sphere M which is an element of $\mathbb{Q}[[A+1]]$ using the embedding ζ. We remark the coefficient of $(A+1)$ in $z(M)$ is the Casson invariant.

In section 2, we review some facts about the skein algebra and the mapping class group of a compact connected surface. In section 3, we construct an embedding from $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma) \to F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$.

Remark 1.1. *In section 3, we assume that the genus of a surface Σ is larger than 2 in order to use Putman's theorem. Since $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_{1,1})$ is generated by only the Dehn twist along the simple slosed curve which is parallel to the boundary of $\Sigma_{1,1,}$, the map ζ is aloso isomorphism.*

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank his adviser, Nariya Kawazumi, for helpful discussion and encouragement. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15J05288 and the Leading Graduate Course for Frontiers of Mathematical Sciences and Phsyics.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
Acknowledgment 3
2. Definition and Review 3
2.1. Kauffman bracket skein algebras and modules 3
2.2. Filtration and Lie bracket 4
2.3. Completion and Dehn twist 5
2.4. The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series 6
3. Torelli groups and Johonson homomorphisms 7
3.1. The definition of $I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ 7
3.2. Well-definedness of θ 8
3.3. Well-definedness of ζ 9
3.4. The 1st Johnson homomorphism and ζ 14
References 15

2. Definition and Review

In the section, we review some definitions and facts about the filtered Kauffman bracket skein algebra and module of a surface, for details, see our papers [8] and [9].

Through this section, let Σ be a compact connected surface with non-empty boundary and I the closed interval $[0, 1]$.

2.1. **Kauffman bracket skein algebras and modules.** Let J be a finite subset of $\partial\Sigma$. We denote by $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma, J)$ the set of unoriented framed tangles in $\Sigma \times I$ with base point set J and by $T(d)$ the tangle presented by a tangle diagram d, for details, see [8] section 2 and [9] section 2. Let $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)$ be the Kauffman bracket skein module of Σ with base point set $J \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, which is the quotient of $\mathbb{Q}[A.A^{-1}]\mathcal{T}(\Sigma, J)$ by the skein relation and the trivial knot relation [8] Definition 3.2. The skein relation is

$$T(d_1) - AT(d_\infty) - A^{-1}T(d_0)$$

where d_1, d_∞ and d_0 are differ only in an open disk shown in Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3, respectively. The trivial knot relation is

$$T(d) - (-A^2 - A^{-2})T(d')$$

where d and d' are differ only in a open disk shown a boundary of a disk and empty, respectively. We denote that we don't assume "the boundary skein relation" and "the value of a contractible arc" in Muller [6]. We write simply $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, \emptyset)$. The element of $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)$ represented by $T \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma, J)$ is denoted by $[T]$.

FIG 1. d_1



FIG 2. d_∞



FIG 3. d_0

There is a natural action of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ on $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)$ [8] section 2, where $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ is the mapping class group of Σ fixing the boundary pointwise. The product of $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ and the right and left actions of $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ on $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)$ are defined by Figure 4, for details, see [8] 3.1. The Lie bracket $[,] : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ is defined by $[x, y] \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{-A+A^{-1}}(xy - yx)$. and the action $\sigma()() : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J) \to \mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)$ by $\sigma(x)(z) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{-A+A^{-1}}(xz - zx)$. The action σ makes $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)$ a $(\mathcal{S}(\Sigma), [,])$-module with σ. For details, see, [8] 3.2.

2.2. **Filtration and Lie bracket.** The augmentation map $\epsilon : \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{Q}$ is defined by $A + 1 \mapsto 0$ and $[L] - (-2)^{|L|} \mapsto 0$ for $L \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, where $|L|$ is the number of components of L. The well-definedness of ϵ is proved in [8] Proposition 3.10.

We denote $\pi \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \pi_1(\Sigma, *)$ and by $\mathbb{Q}\pi$ the group ring of π over $\mathbb{Q}$, where $*$ is a point of $\partial\Sigma$. For $x \in \pi_1(\Sigma)$, we define $\langle x \rangle \in (\ker \epsilon)/(\ker \epsilon)^2$ by $[L_x] + 2 - 3w(L_x)(A - A^{-1})$ using $L_x \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ with $p_1(L_x)$ the conjugation class of x, where the writhe $w(L_x)$ is the sum of the signs of the crossing of a diagram presenting L_x. The well-definedness of $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is proved in [9] Lemma 3.1. We also denote by $\langle \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{Q}\pi \ker \epsilon/(\ker \epsilon)^2$ its $\mathbb{Q}$-linear extension. By [9] Proposition 3.3. we have $\langle xy \rangle + \langle xy^{-1} \rangle = 2\langle x \rangle + 2\langle y \rangle$ for



FIG 4. The product and the actions

x and $y \in \pi$. Using this formula, we have

$$\langle (a-1)(b-1)(c-1)\rangle = \langle -(b-1)(a-1)(c-1)\rangle, \tag{1}$$

$$\langle (a-1)(b-1)(c-1)(d-1)\rangle = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\langle (a-1)(b-1)(b-1)\rangle = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\langle [a,b]c - c\rangle = 2\langle (a-1)(b-1)(c-1)\rangle, \tag{4}$$

$$\langle (a-1)(a-1)\rangle = 2\langle a\rangle, \tag{5}$$

for a, b, c and $d \in \pi$. For details, see [9] Lemma 3.4. By these equations, the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map

$$\lambda : H \wedge H \wedge H \to \ker\epsilon/(\ker\epsilon)^2, [a]\wedge[b]\wedge[c] \mapsto \langle (a-1)(b-1)(c-1)\rangle$$

is well-defined where $H \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}\otimes\pi/[\pi,\pi]$. We remark that λ is injective Corollary 4.6. Let ϖ be the quotient map $\ker\epsilon \to \ker\epsilon/\mathrm{im}\lambda$. We define the filtration $\{F^n\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)\}_{n\geq 0}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &F^0 \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{S}(\Sigma),\\ &F^1\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) = F^2\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \ker\epsilon,\\ &F^3\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \ker\varpi,\\ &F^n\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \ker\epsilon F^{n-2}\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \quad (\text{for } \ 4\leq n). \end{aligned}$$

By [9] Proposition 5.7. and Proposition 5.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &F^m\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)F^n\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \subset F^{n+m}\mathcal{S}(\Sigma),\\ &[F^m\mathcal{S}(\Sigma), F^n\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)] \subset F^{n+m-2}\mathcal{S}(\Sigma), \end{aligned}$$

for any n and m. Let ρ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map

$$\rho : H \bullet H \to F^2\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)/F^3\mathcal{S}(\Sigma), \quad [a]\bullet[b] \mapsto \langle (a-1)(b-1)\rangle,$$

where $H \bullet H$ is the symmetric tensor of H. We remark ρ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-module incjection by [9] Theorem 4.1.

2.3. **Completion and Dehn twist.** We denote

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varprojlim_{i\to\infty}\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)/(\ker\epsilon)^i,\\ &\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \varprojlim_{i\to\infty}\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)/(\ker\epsilon)^i\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J), \end{aligned}$$

for a finite subset $J \subset \partial\Sigma$. By [8] Theorem 5.5, the natural homomorphisms $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ and $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J) \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)}$ is injective.

Proposition 2.1 ([9] Corollary 2.4.)**.** *Let J be a finite subset of $\partial\Sigma$. If $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial_i \cap J \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, b\}$, then $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)})$ is injective, where $\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_b$ are the connected components of $\partial\Sigma$.*

We denote

$$L(c) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{-A + A^{-1}}{4\log(-A)}(\mathrm{arccosh}(-\frac{c}{2}))^2 - (-A + A^{-1})\log(-A) \tag{6}$$

where c is also denoted by the element of $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ represented by the knot presented by a simple closed curve c.

Theorem 2.2 ([8] Theorem 4.1)**.** *Let c be a simple closed curve and t_c the Dehn twist along c. Then we have*

$$t_c(\cdot) = \exp(\sigma(L(c))) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!}(\sigma(L(c)))^i \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)}).$$

for any finite subset $J \subset \partial\Sigma$.

2.4. **The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series.** In this subsection, we will explain the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series. We choose $S \subset \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ such that, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists $j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying

$$\sigma(a_1) \circ \sigma(a_2) \circ \cdots \sigma(a_{j_i})(F^i\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)) \subset F^{i+1}\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \tag{7}$$

for $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{j_i} \in S$. In this paper, the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series bch is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{bch}(\epsilon_1 a_1, \epsilon_2 a_2, \cdots, \epsilon_m a_m)\\ &\overset{\text{def.}}{=} (-A + A^{-1}) \log(\exp(\frac{\epsilon_1 a_1}{-A + A^{-1}}) \exp(\frac{\epsilon_2 a_2}{-A + A^{-1}}) \cdots \exp(\frac{\epsilon_m a_m}{-A + A^{-1}}))\end{aligned}$$

for $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m \in S$ and $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \cdots, \epsilon_m$. We remark, as elements of the associated Lie algebra $(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}, [\ ,\ ])$, it has a usual expression. For example,

$$\mathrm{bch}(x, y) = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \frac{1}{12}([x, [x, y]] + [y, [y, x]]) + \cdots.$$

We denote

$$|S| \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \{\mathrm{bch}(\epsilon_1 a_1, \cdots, \epsilon_m a_m) | m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, a_1, \cdots, a_m \in S, \epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_m \{\pm 1\}\}.$$

For $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{j_1} \in |S|$, they satisfies the equation (7). The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{bch}(a, -a) = 0,\\ &\mathrm{bch}(0, a) = \mathrm{bch}(a, 0) = a,\\ &\mathrm{bch}(a, \mathrm{bch}(b, c)) = \mathrm{bch}(\mathrm{bch}(a, b), c),\\ &\mathrm{bch}(a, b, -a) = \exp(\sigma(a))(b).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(|S|, \mathrm{bch})$ is a group whose identity is 0. Furthermore, if there exists $j' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying

$$\sigma(a_1) \circ \sigma(a_2) \circ \cdots \sigma(a_{j'})(\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)) \subset \ker \epsilon\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J) \tag{8}$$

for $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{j'} \in S$ and any finite set $J \subset \partial\Sigma$, $\exp : (|S|, \mathrm{bch}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)})$ is a group homomorphism, i.e, $\exp(\sigma(\mathrm{bch}(a, b))) = \exp(\sigma(a)) \circ \exp(\sigma(b))$ for $a, b \in |S|$. For example, $F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ satisfies the condition. Furthermore, if the genus of Σ is 0, $\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ satisfies the condition and there exists an embedding $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow (\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}, \mathrm{bch})$. For details, see [9].

Lemma 2.3 ([9] Corollary 5.16. Proposition 5.17.)**.** *Let V_1 and $V_2 \subset V_1$ be $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspaces of H satisfying $\mu(v, v') = 0$ for any $v \in V_2$ and $v' \in V_1$. We denote*

$$S = \{x \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} | \rho^{-1}(x \bmod F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}) \in V_1 \bullet V_2\}.$$

Then S satisfies the above conditions (7) and (8).

3. Torelli groups and Johonson homomorphisms

Through this section, let Σ be a compact surface with a non-empty connected boundary and genus $g > 1$.

The completed Kauffman bracket $\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ has a filtration $\{F^n\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ such that $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)/F^n\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}/F^n\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

3.1. **The definition of $I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$.** The aim of this subsection is to define $I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{c_1, c_2\}$ be a pair of curves whose algebraic intersection number is 0. Then the set $\{L(c_1), L(c_2)\}$ satisfies the conditions (7) and (8).*

Proof. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be elements of H_1 such that $\pm[c_1] = \gamma_1$ and $\pm[c_2] = \gamma_2$. We remark that

$$L(c_i) = -\frac{1}{2}(c_i + 2) = -\frac{1}{4}\rho(\gamma_i \bullet \gamma_i) \mod F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Since $\mu(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0$ and Lemma 2.3, we have the claim of the Lemma. This finishes the proof. □

By this lemma, we can define $C(c_1, c_2) = \mathrm{bch}(L(c_1), L(c_2), -L(c_1), -L(c_2))$ for a pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of curves whose algebraic intersection number is 0. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma)$ the set of all $C(c_1, c_2)$. Since $[L(c_1), L(c_2)] \in F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ for a pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of curves whose algebraic intersection number is 0, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma) \subset F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{c_1, c_2\}$ be a pair of non-isotopic disjoint homologous curves which is presented by $(r[a_1, b_1]\cdots[a_m, b_m])_\square$ and $(r)_\square$, respectively, for $r, a_1, b_1, \cdots, a_m, b_m \in \pi_1(\Sigma)$. Then we have $L(c_1) - L(c_2) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda([r] \wedge [a_i] \wedge [b_i]) \mod F^4\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} = (\ker\epsilon)^2$.*

Proof. By the equation (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(c_1) - L(c_2) &= -\frac{1}{2}(c_1 - c_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\langle r\prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i] - r\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^m \langle r\prod_{i=1}^j [a_i, b_i] - r\prod_{i=1}^{j-1}[a_i, b_i]\rangle = -\sum_{j=1}^m \langle (r\prod_{i=1}^{j-1}[a_i, b_i] - 1)(a_j - 1)(b_j - 1)\rangle \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda([r] \wedge [a_i] \wedge [b_i]). \mod (\ker\epsilon)^2 \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Corollary 3.3. *For a separating simple closed curve c, we have $L(c) = 0 \mod (\ker\epsilon)^2$.*

We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma)$ the set of all $L(c_1) - L(c_2)$ for a pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of non-isotopic disjoint homologous curves, i.e. which is a bounding pair (BP) and the set of all $L(c)$ for a separating simple closed curve c, i.e. for separating simple closed curve (sep. s.c.c.) c, respectively. By the above lemma and corollary, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma) \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma) \subset F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$. We define

$$I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \stackrel{\mathrm{def.}}{=} |\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma)|$$

where we denote $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma) \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma) \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma) \cup \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma)$.

We denote by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma) \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{C_{c_1c_2} \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} [t_{c_1}, t_{c_2}] | \mu(c_1, c_2) = 0\},$$
$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma) \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{t_{c_1c_2} \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} t_{c_1} {t_{c_2}}^{-1} | \{c_1, c_2\} : \mathrm{BP}\},$$
$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma) \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \{t_c | c : \text{sep. s.c.c.}\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma) \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{comm}}(\Sigma) \cup \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bp}}(\Sigma) \cup \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{sep}}(\Sigma)$ is the generator set of the infinite presentation of $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$ in [7].

Definition 3.4. *The map $\theta : \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma)$ is defined by the following.*

- *For a pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of curves whose algebraic intersection number is 0, $C(c_1, c_2) \mapsto C_{c_1c_2}$.*
- *For a pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of non-isotopic disjoint homologous curves, $L(c_1) - L(c_2) \mapsto t_{c_1c_2}$.*
- *For a separating simple closed curve c, $\theta(L(c)) = t_c$.*

In subsection 3.2 Proposition 3.6, we prove θ is well-defined.

3.2. **Well-definedness of θ.** The aim of this subsection is to prove the following.

Lemma 3.5. *The map $\theta : \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma)$ induces $\theta : I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$.*

Proposition 3.6. *The map θ is well-defined.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, we have the followings.

- For a pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of curves whose algebraic intersection number is 0, $\exp(\sigma(C(c_1, c_2)))(\cdot) = C_{c_1c_2}(\cdot) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)})$ for any finite set $J \in \partial\Sigma$.
- For a pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of non-isotopic disjoint homologous curves, $\exp(\sigma(L(c_1) - L(c_2)))(\cdot) = t_{c_1c_2}(\cdot) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)})$ for any finite set $J \in \partial\Sigma$.
- For a separating simple closed curve c, $\exp(\sigma(L(c)))(\cdot) = t_c(\cdot) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)})$ for any finite set $J \in \partial\Sigma$.

By Proposition 2.1, θ is well-defined. This finishes the proof. □

By Theorem 2.2, we have the following.

Lemma 3.7. *For $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_j \in \{\epsilon x | \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}, x \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma)\}$, we have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{j} (\theta(x_i))(\cdot) = \exp(\mathrm{bch}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_j))(\cdot) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)})$$

for any finite set $J \subset \partial\Sigma$.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. For $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_j, \in \{\epsilon x | \epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}, x \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gem}}(\Sigma)\}$, we assume

$$\mathrm{bch}(x_1, \cdots, x_j) = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{j} \theta(x_i)(\cdot) = \exp(\sigma(\mathrm{bch}(x_1, \cdots, x_j)))(\cdot) = \mathrm{id}(\cdot) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)})$$

for any finite set $J \subset \partial\Sigma$. By Proposition 2.1, we have $\prod_{i=1}^{j} \theta(x_i) = \mathrm{id}$. This finishes the proof. □

3.3. **Well-definedness of ζ.** In this section, we prove θ is injective. In order to check Putman's relation [7], we need the following.

Lemma 3.8. *Let Σ be a compact surface with non-empty boundary. We choose an element $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ satisfying $\sigma(x)(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)}) = \{0\}$ for any finite set $J \subset \partial\Sigma$. Then we have followings.*

1. *For any embedding $e' : \Sigma \to \tilde{\Sigma}$ and any element $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\Sigma})$, we have $\xi(e'(x)) = e'(x) \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\Sigma})}$ where we also denote by e' the homomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\Sigma})}$ induced by e'.*
2. *For any embedding $e'' : \Sigma \times I \to I^3 \to \Sigma \times I$, we have $x = e''(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[[A+1]][\emptyset] \subset \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ where we also denote by e'' the homomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ induced by e''.*

Proof of (1). Since $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\Sigma})$ is generated by Dehn twists, it is enough to check $t_c(x) = x$ for any simple closed curve c. By assumption of x and Theorem 2.2, we have

$$t_c(x) = \exp(\sigma(L(c))(x) = x.$$

This finishes the proof. □

Proof of (2). It is enough to show there exists an embedding $e'' : \Sigma \times I \to I^3 \to \Sigma \times I$ such that $x = e''(x)$. We choose compact connected surfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}$ with non-empty connected boundary and an embedding $e''' : \tilde{\Sigma} \times I \to \Sigma \times I$ such that there exists submanifolds Σ', $\Sigma'' \subset \tilde{\Sigma}$ satisfying the followings.

- The intersection $\Sigma' \cap \Sigma''$ is empty.
- There exists diffeomorphisms $\chi' : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$, $\chi'' : \Sigma \to \Sigma''$.
- There exists en embedding $e_{I^3} : I^3 \to \Sigma \times I$ satisfying

$$e''' \circ (\chi'' \times \mathrm{id}_I)(\Sigma \times I) \subset e''(I^3).$$

- The embedding $e''' \circ (\chi' \times \mathrm{id}_I)$ induces the identity map of $\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$.

For example, see Figure 5. By (1), we have $\chi'(x) = \chi''(x)$. Hence, we obtain $x = e''' \circ (\chi' \times \mathrm{id}_I)(x) = e''' \circ (\chi'' \times \mathrm{id}_I)(x)$. The embedding $e''' \circ (\chi'' \times \mathrm{id}_I)$ satisfies the claim e''. This finishes the proof.

□

To check the relations (F.1), (F.2), ... (F.7) and (F.8) in [7], we need the following. We obtain the lemma by a straightforward calculation and definition of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series. Lemma 3.9 (k) corresponds (F.k) for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, 8$.

Lemma 3.9.

1. *For a pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of non-isotopic disjoint homologous curves, we have*

$$L(c_1) - L(c_2) = -(L(c_2) - L(c_1)).$$

2. *For a pair $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of curves whose algebraic intersection number is 0, we have*

$$\mathrm{bch}(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_1), L(c_2), -L(c_1), -L(c_2)), \mathrm{bch}(L(c_2), L(c_1), -L(c_2), -L(c_1))) = 0.$$

3. *For a triple $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ of non-isotopic disjoint homologous curves, we have*

$$\mathrm{bch}(L(c_1) - L(c_2), L(c_2) - L(c_3)) = L(c_1) - L(c_3).$$



FIG 5. $e''' : \tilde{\Sigma} \times I \to \Sigma \times I$

(4) *If* $\{c_1, c_2\}$ *is a pair of non-isotopic disjoint homologous curves such that* c_1 *and* c_2 *are separating curves, we have*

$$L(c_1) - L(c_2) = \mathrm{bch}(L(c_1), -L(c_2)).$$

(5) *If* $\{c_1, c_2\}$ *is a pair of non-isotopic disjoint homologous curves and* $\{c_3, c_2\}$ *is a pair of curves whose algebraic intersection number is* 0 *such that* c_1 *and* c_3 *are disjoint, we have*

$$L(t_{c_3}(c_2)) - L(c_1) = \mathrm{bch}(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_3), L(c_2), -L(c_3), -L(c_2)), L(c_2) - L(c_1)).$$

(6) *For a pair* $\{c_1, c_2\}$ *of curves whose algebraic intersection number is* 0 *and* $x \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma)$*, we have*

$$\mathrm{bch}(x, C(c_1, c_2), -x) = C(\theta(x)(c_1), \theta(x)(c_2)).$$

(7) *For a pair* $\{c_1, c_2\}$ *of non-isotopic disjoint homologous curves and* $x \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma)$*, we have*

$$\mathrm{bch}(x, L(c_1) - L(c_2), -x) = L(\theta(x)(c_1)) - L(\theta(x)(c_2)).$$

(8) *For a separating simple closed curve* c *and* $x \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{gen}}(\Sigma)$*, we have*

$$\mathrm{bch}(x, L(c), -x) = L(\theta(x)(c))$$

In order to check lantern relation in [7], we need the following.

Lemma 3.10. *Let* $\Sigma_{0,4}$ *be a compact connected oriented surface of genus* 0 *and* 4 *boundary components and* $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_{12}, c_{23}, c_{13}$ *and* c_{123} *the simple closed curves as Figure 6. We have* $\mathrm{bch}(L(c_{123}, -L(c_{12}), -L(c_{23}), -L(c_{13}), L(c_1), L(c_2), L(c_3))) = 0 \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4})}$.

Proof. Let e be an embedding $\Sigma_{0,4} \times I \to I^3$ as Figure 6. Since

$$\exp(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_{123}, -L(c_{12}), -L(c_{23}), -L(c_{13}), L(c_1), L(c_2), L(c_3))))(\cdot) =$$

$$t_{c_{123}} {t_{c_{12}}}^{-1} {t_{c_{23}}}^{-1} {t_{c_{31}}}^{-1} t_{c_1} t_{c_2} t_{c_3}(\cdot) = \mathrm{id}(\cdot) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0.4}, J)})$$

for all finite set $J \subset \partial\Sigma$, we have

$$\sigma(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_{123}, -L(c_{12}), -L(c_{23}), -L(c_{13}), L(c_1), L(c_2), L(c_3))))(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4}, J)}) = \{0\}.$$

By Lemma 3.8, it is enough to show $e(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_{123}, -L(c_{12}), -L(c_{23}), -L(c_{13}), L(c_1), L(c_2), L(c_3)))) = 0$. We remark $e([\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4})}, \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4})}]) = \{0\}$. We have

$$e(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_{123}, -L(c_{12}), -L(c_{23}), -L(c_{13}), L(c_1), L(c_2), L(c_3))))$$

$$= (1 - 3 + 3)(\frac{-A + A^{-1}}{4\log(-A)}(\mathrm{arccosh}(\frac{A^2 + A^{-2}}{2}))^2 - (-A + A^{-1})\log(-A)) = 0.$$

This finishes the proof.

□

We check the crossed lantern relation in [7]. Let $\Sigma_{1,2}$ be a connected compact surface of genus $g = 1$ with two boundary components. We denote simple closed curves in $\Sigma_{1,2}$ as in Figure 7. We have $H_1(\Sigma_{1,2}, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}a \oplus \mathbb{Q}b \oplus \mathbb{Q}v$ where $a \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} [c'_a], b \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} [c_b], v \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} [c_v] \in H_1(\Sigma_{1,2}, \mathbb{Q})$. In order to check the crossed lantern relation, we need the following.

Lemma 3.11. (1) *The set* $\{\pm(L(c_a) - L(c'_a)), \pm(L(c_b) - L(c'_b)), \pm(L(c_{ab}) - L(c'_{ab}))\}$ *satisfies the conditions (7) and (8).*



FIG 6. The simple closed curves in Σ_{04}

(2) *We have* $\mathrm{bch}(L(c_b) - L(c'_b), -L(c_a) + L(c'_a), -L(c_{ab}) + L(c'_{ab})) = 0$.



FIG 7. $\Sigma_{1,2}$

Proof of (1). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
L(c_a) - L(c'_a) &= \frac{1}{4}\rho(-(a-v)\cdot(a-v) + a\cdot a) \mod F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4})} \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\rho(-v\cdot v + 2v\cdot a) \mod F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4})}, \\
L(c_b) - L(c'_b) &= \frac{1}{4}\rho(-b\cdot b + (b-v)\cdot(b-v)) \mod F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4})} \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\rho(v\cdot v - 2v\cdot b) \mod F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4})}, \\
L(c_{ab}) - L(c'_{ab}) &= \frac{1}{4}\rho(-(a+b)\cdot(a+b) + (a+b-v)\cdot(a+b-v)) \mod F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4})} \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\rho(v\cdot v - 2v\cdot(a+b)) \mod F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{0,4})}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, this finishes the proof. □

Proof of (2). Let e be an embedding $\Sigma_{0,4}\times I \to I^3$ as Figure 7. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp(\sigma(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_b) - L(c'_b), -L(c_a) + L(c'_a), -L(c_{ab}) + L(c'_{ab}))))(\cdot) \\
&= t_{c_b c'_b}\circ t_{c'_a c_a}\circ {t_{c_{ab}c'_{ab}}}^{-1}(\cdot) = \mathrm{id}(\cdot) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{1,2}, J)})
\end{aligned}$$

for all finite set $J \subset \partial\Sigma$, we have

$$\sigma(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_b) - L(c'_b), -L(c_a) + L(c'_a), -L(c_{ab}) + L(c'_{ab})))(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{1,2}, J)}) = \{0\}.$$

By Lemma 3.8, it is enough to show $e(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_b) - L(c'_b), -L(c_a) + L(c'_a), -L(c_{ab}) + L(c'_{ab}))) = 0$. Since $e(x(L(c_i) - L(c'_i))) = e((L(c_i) - L(c_i))x) = 0$ for $i \in \{a, b, ab\}$ and any $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma_{1,2})}$, we have $e(\mathrm{bch}(L(c_b) - L(c'_b), -L(c_a) + L(c'_a), -L(c_{ab}) + L(c'_{ab}))) = 0$. This finishes the proof. □

In order to check Witt-Hall relation and commutator shuffle relation in [7], it is enough to show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12. *Let Σ' be a compact surface, D a open disk in Σ' and Σ'' the surface $\Sigma'\backslash D$. We fix the points $*_1$ and $*_2$ and the paths γ_1 and γ_2 as in Figure 8. We*



FIG 8. Σ'

denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{push}}(\Sigma'', \partial D)$ *the set of all pair* $\{c_1, c_2\}$ *of simple closed curves satisfying the followings.*

- *There exists a path* γ *from* $*_1$ *to* $*_2$ *such that* $c_1 = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma$ *and* $c_2 = \gamma_2 \cup \gamma$.
- *We have* $[c_1] = [c_2] \in H_1(\Sigma')$.

For $\{c_{11}, c_{21}\} \cdots \{c_{1k}, c_{2k}\} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{push}}(\Sigma'', \partial D)$ *and* $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_k \in \{\pm 1\}$, *if* $\prod_{i=1}^{k} (t_{c_{1i}c_{2i}})^{\epsilon_i} = \mathrm{id} \in \mathcal{I}(\Sigma'')$, *then we have*

$$\mathrm{bch}(\epsilon_1(L(c_{11}) - L(c_{21})), \cdots, \epsilon_k(L(c_{1k}) - L(c_{2k}))) = 0.$$

Proof. Since

$$\exp(\sigma(\mathrm{bch}(\epsilon_1(L(c_{11}) - L(c_{21})), \cdots, \epsilon_k(L(c_{1k}) - L(c_{2k})))))(\cdot) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (t_{c_{1i}c_{2i}})^{\epsilon_i}(\cdot) = \mathrm{id}(\cdot) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)})$$

for all finite set $J \subset \partial\Sigma$, we have

$$\sigma(\mathrm{bch}(\epsilon_1(L(c_{11}) - L(c_{21})), \cdots, \epsilon_k(L(c_{1k}) - L(c_{2k}))))(\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma, J)}) = \{0\}.$$

We denote by e' the embedding $\Sigma'' \to \Sigma'$. We choose an embedding $e : \Sigma' \times I \to I^3$. By Lemma 3.8, it is enough to show $e \circ e'(\mathrm{bch}(\epsilon_1(L(c_{11}) - L(c_{21})), \cdots, \epsilon_k((c_{1k}) - L(c_{2k})))) = 0$. Since $e'(L(c_{1i}) - L(c_{2i}))$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$, we have $e'(\mathrm{bch}(\epsilon_1(L(c_{11}) - L(c_{21})), \cdots, \epsilon_k(L(c_{1k}) - L(c_{2k})))) = 0$. This finishes the proof. □

By above lemmas, we have the main theorem.

Theorem 3.13. *The group homomorphism* $\theta : I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$ *is an isomorphism. In other words,* $\zeta \overset{\mathrm{def.}}{=} \theta^{-1} : \mathcal{I}(\Sigma) \to \widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$ *is embedding.*

Since $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$ is generated by $\{t_{c_1c_2} | c_1, c_2 : \mathrm{BP}\}$, we have the following.

Corollary 3.14. *We have* $I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) = |\mathcal{L}_{bp}(\Sigma)|$. *Furthermore* ζ *can be defined by* $\zeta(t_{c_1c_2}) = L(c_1) - L(c_2)$.

3.4. **The 1st Johnson homomorphism and ζ.** In this subsection, we prove the following.

Theorem 3.15. *The isomorphism* $\zeta : \mathcal{I}(\Sigma) \to I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ *induces* $\tau_\zeta : \mathcal{I}(\Sigma) \to F^3\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}/F^4\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \overset{\lambda^{-1}}{\simeq} H_1 \wedge H_1 \wedge H_1$. *Then we have* $\tau_\zeta = \tau$ *where* τ *is the 1st Johnson homomorphism.*

Proof. By [1] Lemma 4B, it is enough to show $\tau_\zeta(t_{c_1c_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k}[a_i]\wedge[b_i]\wedge[b_{k+1}]$ where c_1 and c_2 are disjoint simple closed curves which is presented by $(b_{k+1})_\square$ and $(\prod_{i=1}^{k}[a_i,b_i]b_{k+1})_\square$, respectively. By Lemma 3.2, we have $L(c_1)-L(c_2) = \lambda(\sum_{i=1}^{k}[a_i]\wedge[b_i]\wedge[b_{k+1}]) \mod F^4\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)}$. This finishes the proof. □

Since $\mathcal{K}(\Sigma) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \ker\tau$ is generated by $\{t_c | c : \text{sep.s.c.c.}\}$, we have the following.

Corollary 3.16. *The subgroup $F^4\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \cap I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ is generated by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{sep}}(\Sigma)$. Furthermore, the restriction of ζ to $\mathcal{K}(\Sigma)$ $\zeta_{|\mathcal{K}(\Sigma)} : \mathcal{K}(\Sigma) \to F^4\widehat{\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)} \cap I\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$ is an isomorphism defined by $\zeta(t_c) = L(c)$ for a separating simple closed curve c.*

References

[1] D. Johnson, *An abelian quotient of the mapping class group $\mathcal{I}_g$*, Math. Ann. 249, 225-242(1980).

[2] N. Kawazumi and Y. Kuno, *The logarithms of Dehn twists*, Quantum Topology, Vol. 5, Issue 3, pp. 347423, 2014

[3] N. Kawazumi and Y. Kuno, *Groupoid-theoretical methods in the mapping class groups of surfaces*, arXiv: 1109.6479 (2011), UTMS preprint: 201128

[4] G. Massuyeau and V. Turaev, *Fox pairings and generalized Dehn twists*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 63 (2013) 2403-2456.

[5] S. Morita, *On the structure of the Torelli group and the Casson invariant*, Topology, Volume 30, Issue 1991, 603-621.

[6] G. Muller, *Skein algebra and cluster algebras of marked surfaces*, arXiv: 1104.0020(2012).

[7] A. Putman, *An infinite presentation of the Torelli group*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 19 (2009), no. 2, 591-643.

[8] S. Tsuji, *Dehn twists on Kauffman bracket skein algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1510.05139(2015).

[9] S. Tsuji, *The quotient of a Kauffman bracket skein algebra by the square of an augmentation ideal*, in preparation.

[10] S. Tsuji, in preparation.

[11] Turaev, V. G., *Skein quantization of Poisson algebras of loops on surfaces*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 24 (1991), no. 6